△ NEWSLETTER △

DIVISION OF CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY

February, 1958 Vol. 11, No. 1

Editor: Elizabeth B. Wolf
3269 Chalfant Rd.
Shaker Hts. 20, Ohio

Advisory Board:
President: Anne Roe
Secretary-Treasurer: Ivan N. Mensh

CONTENTS:

CALL FOR NOMINATIONS
Notes and News
Letters to the Editor
Editorial Comment
Call for Papers for 1958 Annual Meeting
NOMINATION BALLOT - send right in!

CALL FOR NOMINATIONS

One of the most important things that members of the Clinical Division do is to see to it that the proper individuals are put in charge of the Division's business. The key step is the membership's response to the annual CALL for NOMINATIONS. In past years not nearly enough members have responded. Now is the time to nominate candidates to serve in Division Offices during 1958-59. Please use the Nominating Ballot on the last page of this issue of the NEWSLETTER.

Following are the names of persons who have served the Division in certain ways during recent years. The practice of publishing such a list started when it was discovered that any proper noun that happened to appear in the same issue of the NEWSLETTER as the Call for Nominations was almost sure to be picked up as a nominee for something. It was therefore agreed that, while the publication of a list of names might have an unfortunate biasing effect on the balloting, it would at least be an improved kind of bias. Certainly, it is most important that members not feel themselves restricted to these names when making their selections.

Committee on Nominations and Elections
George A. Kelly, Chairman
Sol L. Garfield
Robert R. Holt
Persis W. Simmons
Ranald M. Wolfe

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

Presidents from 1945 to 1959

Edgar A. Doll
Laurance F. Shaffer
David Shakow
David Wechsler
Carl R. Rogers
Norman Cameron
Samuel J. Beck
O. Hobart Mowrer
William A. Hunt
Harold M. Hildreth
Jean W. Macfarlane
George A. Kelly
Anne Roe *
James G. Miller **

Other Officers Since 1945

David Rapaport, Secy-Treas.
Harry McNeill, Secy-Treas.
Ann M. Garner, Secy-Treas.
Helen D. Sargent, Secy-Treas.
Ivan N. Mensh, Secy-Treas. **
Rex Collier, Assoc. Secy-Treas. **
Elizabeth B. Wolf, Editor **

Other Executive Committee Members since 1950

John E. Bell *
Margaret Brenman
Sol L. Garfield *
Florence L. Halpern **
Robert E. Harris
Starke R. Hathaway
Nicholas Hobbs
Robert R. Holt
George S. Klein
Samuel B. Kutash **
Victor C. Raimy
Thomas W. Richards **
Saul Rosenzweig
Julian B. Rotter
Roy Schafer
Edward J. Shoben, Jr. *
Robert I. Watson *
Joseph Zubin

Committee Chairmen since 1950 (Duplicate Names Omitted)

Donald K. Adams
John R. Barry ***
Robert G. Bernreuter
Sidney W. Bijou
Joseph M. Bobbitt
Katherine P. Bradway
Bettye McD. Caldwell ***
Louis D. Cohen ***
Ethel L. Cornell
Allen T. Dittman
Paul E. Eiserer
Albert Ellis
Harrison G. Gough
Donald L. Grummon
Ralph W. Heine ***
William E. Henry
Nicholas Hobbs ***
Milton J. Horowitz ***
H. Max Houtchens
Ruth N. Hubbard
A. L. Hunsicker
Thelma Hunt ***
Max L. Hutt
Joseph F. Jastak
Marshall R. Jones ***
Goldie R. Kaback
E. Lowell Kelly
Ija N. Korner
Louis S. Levine
Stanley S. Marzolf
W. Mason Mathews
Boyd R. McCandless ***
Herman B. Molish
T. E. Newland *
Ernest T. Newman
Frances C. Perce
Albert I. Rabin
W. Reichenberg-Hackett
Eli A. Rubenstein ***
Edwin S. Schneidman
Emanuel K. Schwartz
Pauline S. Sears
Julius Seeman
Saul B. Sells ***
Charles R. Strother
Percival M. Symonds
Clare W. Thompson
Howard R. White
Robert A. Young

* Incumbent
** Incumbency extends through 1959
*** Currently serving as a committee chairman

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

CALL FOR NOMINATIONS FOR THE DIVISION'S ANNUAL AWARD

The Clinical Division has authorized annual awards in recognition of distinguished contributions to the science and profession of clinical psychology. These may be contributions to research and theory, to the clinical method, or to the advancement of clinical psychology as a profession.

Members of the Division are invited to write to the Chairman of the Awards Committee, George A. Kelly, Department of Psychology, Ohio State University, Columbus 10, Ohio and suggest the names of candidates. It will be particularly helpful if the letters cite the candidates' contributions in specific detail.

* * * * * * *

NOTES AND NEWS

A project for the development of a new set of classification standards for psychologists in the federal government is underway. Meetings are being held regularly with a representative of the Civil Service Commission in order to meet a publication date of June 30, 1958.

Psychologists in the Veterans Administration have been aware of this problem for some time and brought it to the attention of the Civil Service Commission. The V.A. has proposed that this project should encompass the entire field of scientific and professional psychology, and that an interagency committee of psychologists representing the various federal agencies utilizing psychologists be established.

Such an interagency committee was formed from the following: Veterans Administration, Department of Medicine and Surgery; Veterans Administration, Department of Veterans Benefits; Personnel Research Branch, Adjutant General's Office; Personnel Analysis Division, Bureau of Naval Personnel; U. S. Civil Service Commission; National Academy of Science; Clinical Center of the National Institutes of Health, U. S. Public Health Service; Psychology Department, St. Elizabeths Hospital; Human Factors Division, U. S. Air Force; Office of Education; Division of Personnel, U. S. Public Health Service; National Institute of Mental Health, U. S. Public Health Service; Office of the Surgeon General, Department of the Army; Engineering and Psychology Branch, U. S. Naval Research Laboratory; National Science Foundation and Office of Naval Research, Navy Department.

This is the first attempt to work out a set of classification standards covering the entire field of psychology. By way of a progress report it can be stated that all members of the committee are working with enthusiasm and are optimistic that completion of this project can be achieved along the lines undertaken.

* * * * * * * *

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

Since George Kelly's summary comment at the Annual Business Meeting, we have had numerous requests for copies of the report of the Diagnostic Devices Committee on "A Critical Evaluation of the Research Designs, Methods, and Results of a Sample of Research Studies Concerning the Effects of Ataractic Drugs." Ivan Mensh has lent us the one remaining copy. Considering the summary in the August NEWSLETTER, do you think the more complete report should be printed in the next issue? If there is not sufficient demand we might have 2-3 dozen copies run off, to be sent on request.

* * * * * * *

Ivan Mensh reports that the Secretary's Office has sent applications to 83 applicants for Fellow and 642 applicants for Member status, to date. This does not necessarily mean that all will be returned, but the numbers do represent a significant increase over past years in requests for applications. The APA dues notice enclosure may have been one of the important factors since many applicants mentioned this.

* * * * * * *

The University of Hawaii announces the establishment of the psychological Research Center, to conduct basic and applied research, particularly that having significance to Hawaii and the Pacific area. Dr. Herbert B. Weaver has been named Director.

A Doctor of Philosophy program has also been established at the University of Hawaii beginning in September 1958. The Ph.D. will be awarded in general-experimental psychology, with specialization provided by the area chosen for the dissertation.

* * * * * * *

Henry P. David, who arranged the charter flight to the Brussels International Congress for APA, reports that the $310.00 round trip proved so attractive that the charter was sold out in ten days. He is now entertaining inquiries regarding another possible charter in April 1958, for the Rome Meetings of the International Congress of Applied Psychology. Anyone interested, please write:

Henry P. David, Ph.D.
Chief Psychologist
Dept. of Institutions & Agencies, State of New Jersey
135 West Hanover Street, Trenton 25, New Jersey

* * * * * * *

Bruno Klopfer announces his 1958 WORKSHOPS IN THE RORSCHACH TECHNIQUE OF PERSONALITY DIAGNOSIS AND OTHER PROJECTIVE TECHNIQUES, jointly sponsored by Claremont Summer Session and Children's Hospital, Los Angeles. For application forms or further information, write to:

Dr. Bruno Klopfer
P. O. Box 2971
Carmel, California

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

Karl Heiser, who was so active in developing the Connecticut Certification Law, has moved to Ohio and is now Chairman of the Legislative Committee of the Ohio Psychological Association. This Committee recently sent a questionnaire to all known psychologists in the state - some 1100. On the basis of the results 30 "grass roots" meetings are being held in different geographical locations to discuss legislative problems.

* * * * * * *

Our Ad Hoc Committee on a Research Conference on Psychotherapy has just completed its plans. The conference is being financed by a $13,685 research grant from the USPHS. It will take place April 9-12, in Washington, D.C., at the Sheraton Park Hotel. The plan is to provide a comprehensive picture of the status of research on the effects of psychotherapy. The meeting is being structured so that the present state of research can be effectively used as a means of establishing a base-line and providing a guide for future research efforts.

The conference will be held for three full days. It will be a closed meeting, attended only by invited participants, all of whom have been selected from persons either directly engaged in research in psychotherapy, or from persons who can make valuable contributions to the discussion and criticism of research in this field. The Committee made an informal survey of some forty scientists, either working in or well acquainted with research in psychotherapy. These individuals, including psychiatrists, psychologists, and sociologists, were asked for the names of likely participants in such a conference. Over eighty names were suggested and supplied the basis for the list from which thirty participants were invited.

Needless to say, the Ad Hoc Committee had considerable difficulty in keeping the roster of participants down to an efficient size. Inevitably, some research people who could well have been invited were not included. In addition, some were invited who could not accept. The participants constitute a representative sample of research workers in this area of activity. The Committee emphasizes that there are some equally competent and experienced researchers who could not be included at this time. It is hoped that the publication of the proceedings will serve to extend communication to these other research workers, as well as other people in the field. Possibly, later conferences may bring together representatives of other research programs.

The conference will focus on some of the broad general issues in research on the effects of psychotherapy. The program will be as follows:

April 9, 1958	--	Dinner Session. Address on "Goals of Therapy," by Dr. John C. Whitehorn, Director, Phipps Psychiatric Clinic.
April 10, 1958		
Morning Session	--	"Problems of Controls"
First Half	--	Papers by: Dr. Jerome D. Frank Dr. Lewis Robbins Discussant: Dr. John M. Butler
Second Half	--	Group Discussion

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER
PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

Afternoon Session	--	"Methods for Assessment of Change (A)"
First Half	--	Papers by: Dr. Timothy Leary
		Dr. Carl Rogers
		Discussant: Dr. David Shakow
Second Half	--	Group Discussion
April 11, 1958		
Morning Session	--	"Methods for Assessment of Change (B)"
First Half	--	Papers by: Dr. George Saslow
		Dr. John I. Lacey
		Discussant: Dr. Milton Greenblatt
Second Half	--	Group Discussion
Afternoon Session	--	"Therapist-Patient Relationship"
First Half	--	Papers by: Dr. Edward S. Bordin
		Dr. William U. Snyder
		Discussant: Dr. Maurice Lorr
Second Half	--	Group Discussion
April 12, 1958		
Morning Session	--	Group Discussion, with format and details still to be arranged.

The Division should congratulate the committee of Eli A. Rubinstein, Chairman, Frank Auld, Jr., Morris B. Parloff, Benjamin Pasamanick, George Saslow, Julius Seeman. We shall look forward to the results of the conference.

* *

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

October 21, 1957

The APA now has adopted new by-laws which prescribe three classes of members, and Division By-Laws must be changed in some respects to keep in step. Most of the changes are routine, but there is one policy issue. This will be discussed at the mid-winter Executive Committee meeting, but we would be helped by expressions of opinion from the members, through letters to the Editor. All by-laws changes are submitted to the membership for final action.

The new APA By-Laws provide three classes of membership: Fellows, Members and Associates. Fellowship requirements have been tightened (and are now very similar to our own present ones) as have those for membership, but all present Fellows and Associates will become Fellows and Members. The new class of Associates comprises persons without the Ph.D., who have had two years of graduate study or the equivalent. The policy matter before us concerns the class of Associates, and the issue hinges on whether the Division is conceived of as exclusively a professional organization, membership in which indicates some degree of experience and presumed

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

competence, or whether it combines the functions of professional and interest groups. We have had discussions of this matter over the years and have, in effect, chosen to remain a professional organization. But there is also a good deal to be said for adding to a core of practicing clinicians, people who have a desire to take part in the scientific meetings of the Division, to affiliate themselves with it, and to be acquainted with what is going on in it. The new membership rules make it possible for our Division to be both a professional group at the Member and Fellow level, and an interest group at the Associate level. We are now forced to make a definite decision on this issue. We have three possibilities:

A. No Associates. No division is required to take any particular class of APA members in: some divisions have only Fellows. If we want Division 12 to be strictly a group of professionals at the doctoral level or better (for incoming Members), we can accomplish this by not admitting Associates.

B. Associates with some degree of clinical training. In addition to APA requirements, this Division has also required varying degrees of clinical training and experience for its members. We can do the same for Associates, requiring proportionately less experience for them than for Members. This would also retain the strictly professional nature of the Division, but evaluation of credentials and qualifications on three different levels would add a heavy burden to the already hard-pressed membership committee.

C. Associates on the basis of interest, with no requirements beyond the APA ones. This would mean that any Associate member of APA who was interested enough in Division 12 to apply, would automaticaly be admitted as an Associate member of the Division. This might attract many persons from the huge segment of the APA that currently does not belong to any division, and presumably a certain number of them would decide to apply for full membership after becoming qualified. In this way the Division could draw graduate students in clinical psychology into early affiliation, and create a sort of training ground for full membership. Associates would not be able to vote or hold office.

Members of this committee would now rank the above three possibilities, in order of preference, as: C, A, B. Is there something we are overlooking?

Anne Roe
Robert R. Holt
Ad Hoc Committee on By-Laws Revision

(All replies received by March 3 shall be reviewed at the EC Meeting in New York so let us know your response.

EBW)

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

January 15, 1958

The Los Angeles Society of Clinical Psychologists in Private Practice was organized in 1950. Private practice had just begun its burgeoning growth in the area and its place in the general scheme of psychological organizations was equivocal. It was felt by the dozen or so persons, who were either in full time practice or part-time practice with their major professional activity centering around the academic, that there was need for an organization which would not only provide a forum for airing the kinds of problems met by the private practitioner, but would reflect high professional standards. With applied psychology beginning to break away from institutional settings it was felt that it was imperative that clinical psychology in private practice be represented within the psychological profession and in the community by an organization with high standards in order to foster the acceptance of private practice by the profession and the community.

Minimum requirements for membership are (1) a degree of Doctor of Philosophy, based in part upon a dissertation in clinical psychology, conferred by a graduate school of recognized standing (2) membership in APA (3) at least one full year of appropriately supervised experience in the practice of clinical psychology (4) be engaged in rendering clinical psychological services on an independent fee basis.

The purposes of the organization are to (1) forward the understanding and acceptance of psychology as a profession devoted to the promotion of human welfare, (2) stimulate research, both individual and cooperative, by psychologists in professional careers, (3) develop and enforce ethical standards of psychological practice, (4) extend amiable relations with related professions, and (5) stimulate the discussion of problems related to the private practice of clinical psychology.

In order to foster these purposes monthly meetings are held which are centered around the areas above outlined. In addition, the continued training of the membership is becoming an important function of the organization. We are in the process of planning a four day training institute which will be given by the Society in collaboration with one of the local universities.

Recently, the Society has affiliated as an autonomous division of the Southern California Psychological Association and at this time represents the majority of clinical psychologists in private practice in the area who meet the requirements for membership in the Society.

Alvin A. Lasko, President, The Los Angeles Society of Clinical Psychologists in Private Practice

(This summary was requested in the October NEWSLETTER and we will welcome such news from similar groups throughout the country. EBW)

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

November 20, 1957

Let me add my belated response to Dr. Bugental's suggestion. I believe very strongly that clinical psychology needs a Journal which would not be dedicated only to the publication of papers of statistical and experimental data; dedicated, in other words to what a previous editor of an APA Journal scornfully called "think pieces."

However, the editorial policy would have to insist on careful presentation of hypotheses in such a form that they should lend themselves eventually to verification; --by that criterion, wild speculation could be excluded without having to resort to the other extreme of publishing only meticulous reports of carefully performed experiments of irrelevant nature.

LEOPOLD BELLAK, M.D.
New York, N. Y.

* * * * * * *

November 12, 1957

I am greatly interested in the suggestions made on Page 10 of the current Newsletter of the Division of Clinical Psychology, APA, relative to the subject of Mental Retardation.

For some years now, my work has been heavily concentrated in this area. Currently, I am serving as the Director of the Sheltered Workshop for the Mentally Retarded in San Francisco, which has been granted a generous Federal Grant from the Office of Vocational Rehabilitation to establish a selected demonstration project in this region of an organizational center for the mentally retarded. We are being supported on a three-year basis.

In view of the fact that the mentally retarded group in our population is by far the most numerous of the handicapped, and also that the mentally retarded group overlaps into all other handicapped groups in the form of multiple handicapped individuals, we are dealing with a problem of vast dimensions and impact on the mental health of our population. In California alone, approximately one-quarter of mental hospital beds are allocated to the mentally retarded.

I would welcome an opportunity to become aware of the many trends of thinking in this field and to meet with my colleagues working in this area.

All good wishes to the success of such a group or program.

ELIAS KATZ, Aid Retarded Children, Inc.
San Francisco, California

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER
PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

December 3, 1957

The current issue of the Newsletter suggests that you would like to have some indication of interest in this area of mentally retarded.

This area is of considerable interest to me and at the present time we are engaged in a Demonstration - Research Project on the evaluation, training, employment and prediction of vocational success for the mentally retarded. This is sponsored by the Office of Vocational Rehabilitation, Department of Health, Education and Welfare. As a member of both the A.P.A. and the A.A.M.D., I would like to keep in touch with any developments in this area.

ROBERT G. FERGUSON,
Research Director,
MacDonald Training Center Foundation,
Tampa, Florida

(These and other letters in response to Dr. Hildreth's inquiry have been referred on to him for consideration. EBW)

* * * * * * *

November 6, 1957

It was very good to read the President's Message in the October 1957 Newsletter. I was pleased to note that there will be a Joint Committee with Division 17 on Public Service Aspects of Psychology. I hope that this may mean an opportunity to sponsor training programs and convention symposia in this area.

I wonder whether the Division might not consider joining an Interdivisional Committee on International Affairs. I am currently involved with the Division 2 Committee on Psychologists in Foreign Countries and am also active in a similar committee of the Society for Projective Techniques. There have been a number of concrete suggestions that may appeal to Division 12 and it may be desirable to express a public interest in this important area. Perhaps this will be something for the Committee on Current Developments.

In regard to the Executive Committee's consideration of publishing sponsors' names along with the names of applicants accepted for Division membership, you may be interested to know that this practice has been followed for some time by the New Jersey Psychological Association. It may lend more responsibility to the business of sponsoring people, and would seem a desirable idea.....

HENRY P. DAVID
Department of Institutions and
Agencies, New Jersey

* *

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

* *

November 17, 1957

I would like to comment on some items in the October NEWSLETTER:

1. I believe it would be a good idea (p. 4) to publish the names of sponsors of all candidates, whether for membership or fellow status. Knowing the integrity and prestige of the sponsors would help the membership understand the merits of the candidates.

2. (P. 7): Our Division is getting unwieldy in size. I do not believe in "raiding" or in pushing others to join. I do believe that anyone interested (who is an APA member) who wishes to be considered should have the opportunity without prejudice. But to push those who do not take the initiative to apply for membership seems unwise since these people might be lacking in interest in Division affairs and progress even if they were coerced to join. Although there is more income from a larger membership to support the Division, I believe that a "hard core" of highly motivated people in the clinical field is better than a small core plus a fringe group with lukewarm interests in the welfare of the group and the organization and what it can do for the field as a whole.

3. Bravo to Dr. Charles Wenar (pp. 13-14) for his suggestion to combine many brief papers into symposia supervised and/or interpreted and integrated by experts in the particular fields represented in each symposium. Research on the Rorschach could all be dealt with in one symposium. There might be another on other projective technique research of that year. Another on psychotherapeutic techniques; others on such topics as psychotherapy and the law; standards and training for private practice, relationship of clinical psychology to other fields, etc.

HAROLD KENNETH FINK
Fort Lauderdale, Florida

* *

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER.
PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

November 6, 1957

This is in response to your request, in the October issue, for opinions as regards the publication of the sponsors' names together with the names of applicants accepted for Division membership. One might ask why such a proposal was made, i.e., what service would it provide? To be sure, there is some personal gratification in being elected to membership in the Division, but is this in some way associated with the popularity of the psychologist who acts as sponsor; is it somehow more advantageous to have been sponsored by a Roe, a Mensh, or a Miller, to name only a few, than by a Smith or a Green? I think not. Further, because publishing these names would serve no other purpose than occupying space in the Newsletter which is already at a premium (both sides of the paper!) that I could see, I vote "nay". The honor inherent in Division membership should be sufficient reward without the additional garlands of the sponsors. I might add, parenthetically, that this does not constitute ye olde "sour grapes" attitude since my own sponsors included some well known people; I just do not see the purpose of such a proposal.

Second, I would like to take this opportunity to express an opinion about the 1958 PDI. In a letter to the Editor of the October issue of the Newsletter, Dr. Wenar made a commentary that, in all probability, encapsulated the feelings of many people with regard to APA convention policies, both presenting and listening participants, viz., the attempt to include too much material of too great a scope, results in a "fragmentation" of the overall presentation. It may be that I, too, have not "worked through" some of my more anal problems yet...but I also feel that there is merit to a presentation of homogenous (ordered?) material. Hence, taking heed from some of the comments re: national convention policies, the PDI might profitably restrict itself to a single topic, but pursue various approaches and levels, theoretical, practical, and research, with regard to the given topic. In this way it would seem to me that the PDI could actually accomplish a purpose, rather than offering a smattering to a smattering. Not a unique area of interest, I, nevertheless, suggest that the next PDI deal with the topic of psychotherapy. As such, as an Institute on Psychotherapy, prominent thinkers and active researchers could be invited to discuss and evaluate the academic and practical implications of past, on-going, and proposed research, various orientations ("non-directive", psychoanalytic) and might also include such areas of concern as the psychotherapy of the neuroses, the psychoses (their similarities their differences) psychotherapy in private practice, in institutional practice, the training for psychotherapy, pre- and postdoctoral, etc.

GEORGE H. FRANK
Topeka State Hospital
Topeka, Kansas

(The suggestion to publish sponsors' names together with the names of applicants accepted for Division Membership was made to encourage people to sponsor only those with whom they are adequately acquainted. It is to be hoped that a member will decline to sponsor an applicant with whom he is not sufficiently familiar to make a competent evaluation. Such a refusal, with suggestion that the applicant select another sponsor who knows him better, is in no way prejudicial.

Your proposals for the 1958 PDI have been forwarded to Thelma Hunt, this year's Chairman, for consideration. EBW)

Your NOMINATING BALLOT appears on the last page of this Newsletter.
Please detach, nominate, and mail now.

---

* *

December 9, 1957

The last issue of the NEWSLETTER took note of the expressed desire of clinical psychologists for a forum for the expression of opinions, attitudes and research ideas. The same need arose in an all-day conference sponsored by the Post-graduate Center for Psychotherapy during the APA meetings in New York City last September.

This note is to announce the establishment of the forum. The Postgraduate Center has obtained financial support for an extended trial of the feasibility of an informal Research Exchange and NEWSLETTER on Mental Health. We are currently soliciting and organizing material for the first issue and extend an invitation to anyone interested to communicate with us. The plan for the Research Exchange calls for four types of material. One is a description of ongoing or projected research for which the author would like constructive criticism as to theoretical value, research design, usefulness, etc. Hopefully, we feel that many of us would profit by collective thinking via exchange of letters.

Second, we will print stimulating and solicited research ideas or ongoing work in order to facilitate replication and extension of such work. The Editor would appreciate hearing from anyone who has knowledge of such work.

The third type of material is brief summary of exciting or controversial presentations made at staff conferences or other meetings. Much valuable material never reaches the profession from these sessions because of lack of publication space.

Finally, we will seek out work being done in foreign countries by the various disciplines interested in mental health research. We already have some contacts in as diversified areas as Africa and India.

In general, we plan to print what workers in mental health want to throw before their fellows for discussion, evaluation and general information.

The purpose of this communication is then two-fold. One is to solicit comments and contributions. The second is to urge those interested in receiving the Exchange NEWSLETTER to send us their names. There will be no charge, at least for the first year. Since we plan a spring issue, we urge you to take the opportunity seriously and to send us material as soon as possible.

BERNARD F. RIESS, Ph.D.
Clinical Research Department
Postgraduate Center
218 East 70th Street
New York, New York

(This is just what so many of our members have been asking for. Congratulations! Please keep us on your mailing list. EBW)

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

CALL FOR PAPERS

The APA Call for Papers for the 1958 meeting will appear shortly in the American Psychologist. You are requested to pay particular attention to deadlines and other details of these general requirements.

Division 12 will continue to experiment with the forms of presentation which were employed last year. This year we have 57 hours in which to schedule our program, the same as we were allowed last year.

Last year's experience with summarized sessions was in general received with moderately favorable reception, but we are planning to modify the arrangements of last year by holding the discussions of the individual papers in various parts of the same room immediately after the completion of each session. (One hundred copies of the full papers should be provided by each author and will be made available on a table at the entrance of the meeting room.)

Our assignments for the various categories of sessions will be determined after we see what proposals for papers and symposia are submitted. Members are requested to submit proposals for presentations of the following types:

1. Experimental papers. Some of these will be presented in the form of summarized reports and others as full experimental reports. In general papers of closely related topics will be put together in the same session. If you do not wish to have your abstract considered for the summarized paper sessions indicate this when submitting the abstract.

2. Symposia. We plan to have symposia sponsored solely by the Division and also with other Divisions as was done last year. We have already received some recommendations concerning joint symposia. It was our hope to make the symposia more lively by encouraging the selection of participants known to have diverse views. For those who have ideas for symposia which they are willing to follow through on please submit a proposal with signed pledge cards of the chairman and each participant. Please enclose also a post card addressed to the proposed chairman for this symposium so that our program committee can inform you rapidly as to whether the symposium has been accepted. This will facilitate early notification by the symposium chairman to participants.

3. Topical discussion groups. Please submit any ideas for topical discussion groups, as early as possible before the deadline so that sufficient time can be allotted.

4. Invited Address. Do you wish to have an invited address this year? If so, please suggest topic for speaker.

We have received suggestions as to how to improve sessions from most of last year's chairmen and we shall pay close attention to these suggestions in making our plans for the coming year. We shall appreciate other suggestions from interested members.

Gerald Blum
Herman Feifel
Mary Alice White
James G. Miller, Chairman
Program Committee, Division 12
Mental Health Research Institute
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT IS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER.
PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

Have you nominated your candidates for President-Elect, Council Representative and Member-at-Large of the Executive Committee? The CALL FOR NOMINATIONS is your opportunity to make your selections of the people that you want to represent you in Division affairs.

Each year the Executive Committee keeps striving for greater membership participation in the nominations and elections. Various experiments have been tried in 1955, 1956, 1957, which have failed to produce any significant increase in response. In the past, only one out of five or six of our members has felt competent to fill out the nominations ballot.

We have tried, via the NEWSLETTER, to clarify the aims and goals of the members in this Division to provide a means for communication, to enable members to get better acquainted with each other's ideas. We hope that this has made you feel better informed as to what is going on in the Division, and has let you know who is doing what.

Further, George Kelly and his Nominations Committee have provided you with a list of people who have been active participants in Division 12 affairs, as officers and committee chairman, for the past fifteen years. The Committee stresses the advisory nature of this list. It is your right and responsibility to consider any eligible member of the Division for the offices available, and to nominate anyone of your choosing.

We have not repeated the By-Laws Provisions and the Qualifications and Duties for the offices this year. For reference, see last year's Nomination Issue, February 1957, Vol. 10, No. 2, or your copy of the By-Laws. Remember, the President must be a Fellow of Division 12 and everyone listed, except the Presidents, is eligible to be nominated for President-Elect.

We are not repeating the exhortations, the vituperations, the please, the dangers of non-voting, which have become a traditional part of the CALL FOR NOMINATIONS in these past years. This is YOUR organization. Decide WHAT YOU WANT DONE and WHO WILL BEST DO IT. Your ballot is on the next page.

* * * * * * *

All members please note: We would appreciate being put on the mailing list of any NEWSLETTER of state or other related organizations.

EBW

YOUR NOMINATING BALLOT APPEARS ON THE LAST PAGE OF THIS NEWSLETTER. PLEASE DETACH, NOMINATE, AND MAIL NOW.

NOMINATION - BALLOT

Division 12, A. P. A.

Mail to Ivan N. Mensh, Division 12 Secretary, Dept. of Psychiatry & Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis 10, Missouri, by MARCH THIRD.

Please list names in order of preference.

President-Elect

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

Council Representatives (three to be elected)

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

5. _____

6. _____

Member-at-Large of Executive Committee (one to be elected)

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____

4. _____

It will be of help to the Nominations and Elections Committee if this ballot is accompanied by biographical information regarding nominees.